

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,734	10/19/2001	Tsuyoshi Tamura	110927	6121
25944	7590 04/01/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			MONDT, JOHANNES P	
	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	09/981,734	TAMURA ET AL	(m)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathan J. Flynn	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	anuary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		- N-			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		Stogo		
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 	-	eu iii tiiis National	Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
COUNTY COLUMN COLOR OF THE COLO	2. 2.0 22				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04 and 1/05.	6) Other:		- · ,		

Application/Control Number: 09/981,734

Art Unit: 2826

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by. Vainsencher (5,977,997).

As to claim 22 and 24, Vainsencher clearly discloses a single silicon integrated circuit containing all of the claimed subject matter:

A. "A first input terminal to witch compressed data is input" is met by stream i/o interface 239:

- B. "a decoder which decompresses the compressed data" is met by MPEG coprocessor 206;
- C. "a RAM which stores a decoded data by the decoder" is met by Primary memory interface 218 and main memory 104;
- D. "a driving section which is connected to an electrode of the display section" is met by Video DAC PAL/NTSC encoder 240 which is connected to display device 108;
- E. "a controller which controls the decoder, the RAM and the driving section" is met jointly by CPU 202 and Display controller 238.

As to claim 23, the limitation of "wherein the same decoded data is readout from the RAM at least two times, which the decoded data is decode for one frame and written intro the RAM" is an inherent feature of MPEG coprocessor 206. In any MPEG

decompression system is it necessary to store and read multiple times the I frames.

The I frame data is required for the decompression of the P and B frames.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vainsencher.

As claim 23, Vainsencher does not explicitly discuss a second input terminal for text data. However, Vainsencher et al teach the input and displaying of graphical data. Vainsencher further teaches that his single chip computer system is for use in video game consoles DVD players and set-top boxes. I examiner takes official notice that the graphical data in these environments typically include text data. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an input for text data so that to system would function a as typical game console, DVD play or set-top box.

The IDS submitted on 1/12 or and 12/29/04 have been considered by the Exmianer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Nathan J. Flynn at telephone number 571-272-1915

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800